

भारत का राजपत्र **The Gazette of India**

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 20] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, मई 16, 1970/वैशाख 26, 1892
 No. 20] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 16, 1970/VAISAKHA 26, 1892

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।
 Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उपखण्ड (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (संघ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर) केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Expenditure)

New Delhi, the 12th March 1970

S.O. 1705.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of rule 9, clause (b) of sub-rule (2) of rule 12, and sub-rule (1) of rule 24, read with rule 34, of the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965, the President hereby makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Expenditure) No. S.R.O. 639, dated the 28th February, 1967, namely:—

In the Schedule to the said notification,—

(1) in PART I—GENERAL CENTRAL SERVICES, CLASS II, under the heading 'Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India', after the words "Assistant Private Secretary to the Comptroller and Auditor General" in column 1, the following shall be inserted, namely:—

" , Private Secretary to Deputy Comptroller and Auditor General, Senior P.A. to Additional Deputy Comptroller and Auditor General";

(2) in PART II—GENERAL CENTRAL SERVICE, CLASS III, under the heading 'Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India', for the entry "Subordinate Accounts Service (i.e. Superintendents and Assistant Superintendents)" in column 1, the following entry shall be substituted, namely:—

"Subordinate Accounts Service (i.e. Superintendents, Assistant Superintendents and Inspection Accountants)".

[No. F. 1(15)-EG.I/70.]

K. N. SINGH, Director.

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 29th April, 1970

S.O. 1706.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 17th April, 1970.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| Capital Paid up | 5,00,00,000 | Notes | 25,50,14,000 |
| | | Rupee Coin | 3,07,000 |
| Reserve Fund | 150,00,00,000 | Small Coin | 4,94,000 |
| | | Bills Purchased and Discounted : | |
| National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 155,00,00,000 | (a) Internal | .. |
| | | (b) External | .. |
| | | (c) Government Treasury Bills | 69,90,37,000 |
| National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund | 35,00,00,000 | Balances Held Abroad* | 127,45,24,000 |
| | | Investments** | 96,42,24,000 |
| National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 75,00,00,000 | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| | | (i) Central Government | .. |
| | | (ii) State Governments@ | 173,07,73,000 |
| | | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| Deposits :— | | (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† | 179,30,70,000 |
| (a) Government | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks†† | 234,28,72,000 |
| | | (iii) Others | 4,19,47,000 |
| (i) Central Government | 83,17,35,000 | | |

| LIABILITIES | | Rs. | ASSETS | | Rs. |
|--|--|----------------|--|--|----------------|
| | | | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :— | | |
| (ii) State Governments | | 6,08,14,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to :— | | |
| | | | (i) State Governments | | 33,86,30,000 |
| | | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks | | 13,84,85,000 |
| (b) Banks | | | (iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks | | .. |
| | | | (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures | | 9,49,70,000 |
| | | | Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :— | | |
| (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks | | 163,35,22,000 | | | |
| (ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | | 8,72,20,000 | Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks | | 5,02,81,000 |
| (iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | | 62,00,000 | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund:— | | |
| (iv) Other Banks | | 22,07,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank | | 6,26,71,000 |
| (c) Others | | 165,34,97,000 | (b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank | | .. |
| Bills Payable | | 41,96,51,000 | Other Assets | | 45,70,90,000 |
| Other Liabilities | | 134,95,43,000 | | | |
| | | Rupees | | | Rupees |
| | | 1024,43,89,000 | | | 1024,43,89,000 |

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 62,45,65,000 advanced to Scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 22nd day of April, 1970.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 17th day of April, 1970.
ISSUE DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. | Rs. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Notes held in the Banking Department | 25,50,14,000 | | Gold Coin and Bullion :— | | |
| Notes in Circulation | <u>4002,16,48,000</u> | | (a) Held in India | 182,53,11,000 | |
| Total Notes issued | | 4027,66,62,000 | (b) Held outside India | .. | |
| | | | Foreign Securities | <u>361,42,00,000</u> | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 543,95,11,000 |
| | | | Rupee Coin | | 61,25,37,000 |
| | | | Government of India Rupee Securities | | 342,46,14,000 |
| | | | Internal Bills of Exchange and other commercial paper | | .. |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | | <u>4027,66,62,000</u> | TOTAL ASSETS | | <u>4027,66,62,000</u> |

Dated the 22nd day of April, 1970.

(Sd.) L. K. JHA,
Governor.

[No. F. 3(3)-BC/70]

वित्त मंत्रालय

(बैंकिंग विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 1970

एस० ओ० 1706.—17 अप्रैल 1970 को रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के बैंकिंग विभाग के कार्यकलाप का विवरण

| देयताएं | रुपये | आस्तियां | रुपये |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| चुक्ता पूंजी | 5,00,00,000 | नोट | 25,50,14,000 |
| भारक्षित निधि | 150,00,00,000 | रुपये का सिक्का | 3,07,000 |
| | | छोटा सिक्का | 4,94,000 |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि | 155,00,00,000 | खरीदे और भुनाये गये बिल | |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि | 35,00,00,000 | (क) देशी | .. |
| | | (ख) विदेशी | .. |
| | | (ग) सरकारी खजाना बिल | 69,90,37,000 |
| राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि | 75,00,00,000 | विदेशों में रखा हुआ बकाया* | 127,45,24,000 |
| जमा राशियां :- | | निवेश** | 96,42,24,000 |
| (क) सरकारी | | ऋण और अग्रिम :- | |
| (i) केन्द्रीय सरकार | 83,17,35,000 | (i) केन्द्रीय सरकार को | .. |
| (ii) राज्य सरकारें | 6,08,14,000 | (ii) राज्य सरकारों को @ | 173,07,73,000 |
| | | ऋण और अग्रिम :- | |
| (ख) बैंक | | (i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को† | 179,30,70,000 |
| (i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंक | 163,35,22,000 | (ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को†† | 234,28,72,000 |
| (ii) अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | 8,72,20,000 | (iii) दूसरों को | 4,19,47,000 |

राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से
ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश :-

(क) ऋण और अग्रिम:-

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| (iii) गैर-अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | 62,00,000 | (i) राज्य सरकारों को | 33,86,30,000 |
| (iv) अन्य बैंक | 22,07,000 | (ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को | 13,84,85,000 |
| (ग) अन्य | 165,34,97,000 | (iii) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों को | .. |
| देय बिल | 41,96,51,000 | (ख) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों के डिबेंचरों में निवेश | |
| अन्य देयताएं | 134,95,43,000 | राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से ऋण | |
| | | और अग्रिम | 9,49,70,000 |
| | | राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ऋण और अग्रिम | 5,02,81,000 |
| | | राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि | |
| | | से ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश :- | |
| | | (क) विकास बैंक को ऋण और अग्रिम | 6,26,71,000 |
| | | (ख) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किये गये बांडों/डिबेंचरों | |
| | | में निवेश | .. |
| | | अन्य आस्तियां | 45,70,90,000 |
| रुपये | 1024,43,89,000 | रुपये | 1024,43,89,000 |

*नकदी, आवधिक जमा और अल्पकालीन प्रतिभूतियां शामिल हैं।

**राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि में से किय गये निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं।

@राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों के अस्थायी ओवरड्राफ्ट शामिल हैं।

†रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम की धारा 17 (4) (ग) के अधीन अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को मियादी बिलों पर अग्रिम दिये गये 62,45,65,000 रुपये शामिल हैं।

††राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं।

तारीख : 22 अप्रैल, 1970।

रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम, 1934 के अनुसरण में अप्रैल, 1970 की 17 तारीख को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिये लेखा
इशू विभाग

| देयताएं | रुपय | रुपये | आस्तियां | रुपये | रुपये |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| बैंकिंग विभाग में रखे हुए | | | सोने का सिक्का प्रौर बुलियन:— | | |
| नोट | 25,50,14,000 | | (च) भारत में रखा हुआ | 182,53,11,000 | |
| संचालन में नोट | 4002,16,48,000 | | (ख) भारत के बाहर रखा हुआ | | |
| | | | विदेशी प्रतिभूतियां | 361,42,00,000 | |
| जारी किए गए कुल नोट | | 4027,66,62,000 | | | |
| | | | जोड़ . . . | 543,95,11,000 | |
| | | | रुपये का सिक्का | 61,25,37,000 | |
| | | | भारत सरकार की रुपया प्रतिभूतियां | 3422,46,14,000 | |
| | | | देशी विनिमय बिल और दूसरे वाणिज्य-पत्र | .. | |
| कुल दायताएं . . . | | 4027,66,62,000 | कुल आस्तियां . | 4027,66,62,000 | |

तारीख: 22 अप्रैल, 1970।

(ह०) लक्ष्मी कान्त झा,
सचिव ।

[सं० एफ० 3 (3)—बी० सी०/70]

New Delhi, the 1st May 1970

S.O. 1707.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 24th April, 1970.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. |
|--|---------------|---|---------------|
| Capital Paid Up | 5,00,00,000 | Notes | 12,75,63,000 |
| | | Rupee Coin | 4,69,000 |
| Reserve Fund | 150,00,00,000 | Small Coin | 5,08,000 |
| National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 155,00,00,000 | Bills Purchased and Discounted :— | |
| | | (a) Internal | .. |
| | | (b) External | .. |
| | | (c) Government Treasury Bills | 60,67,65,000 |
| National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund | 35,00,00,000 | Balances Held Abroad* | 138,83,55,000 |
| National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund | 75,00,00,000 | Investments** | 132,81,58,000 |
| | | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| | | (i) Central Government | .. |
| | | (ii) State Governments @ | 182,74,38,000 |
| Deposits :— | | Loans and Advances to :— | |
| | | (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks† | 236,45,15,000 |
| (a) Government :— | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks†† | 229,69,50,000 |
| (i) Central Government | 166,10,76,000 | (iii) Others | 2,61,32,000 |

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. |
|--|----------------|--|----------------|
| | | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund— | |
| (ii) State Governments | 5,11,84,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to :— | |
| | | (i) State Governments | 33,86,02,000 |
| | | (ii) State Co-operative Banks | 15,15,29,000 |
| | | (iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks | .. |
| (b) Banks :— | | (b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures | 9,49,70,000 |
| | | Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund— | |
| (i) Scheduled Commercial Banks | 167,91,82,000 | | |
| (ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | 7,07,99,000 | Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks | 4,77,54,000 |
| (iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks | 61,85,000 | | |
| (iv) Other Banks | 21,24,000 | Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund— | |
| (c) Others | 163,10,30,000 | (a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank | 6,26,71,000 |
| Bills payable | 45,97,47,000 | (b) Investment in bonds/ debentures issued by the Development Bank | .. |
| Other Liabilities | 136,55,70,000 | Other Assets | 46,45,18,000 |
| | | | |
| Rupees | 1112,68,97,000 | Rupees | 1112,68,97,000 |

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding Investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund.

@Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

†Includes Rs. 63,51,85,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under Section 17(4)(c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund.

Dated the 29th day of April, 1970.

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 24th day of April, 1970.

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

| LIABILITIES | Rs. | Rs. | ASSETS | Rs. | Rs. |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Notes held in the Banking Department | 12,75,63,000 | | Gold Coin and Bullion :— | | |
| | | | (a) Held in India | 182,53,11,000 | |
| Notes in circulation | <u>3956,06,67,000</u> | | (b) Held outside India | .. | |
| Total Notes issued | | 3978,82,30,000 | Foreign Securities | <u>361,42,00,000</u> | |
| | | | TOTAL | | 543,95,11,000 |
| | | | Rupee Coin | | 62,37,61,000 |
| | | | Government of India Rupee Securities | | 3372,49,58,000 |
| | | | Internal Bills of Exchange and other Com- mercial paper | | .. |
| Total Liabilities | | <u>3978,82,30,000</u> | Total Assets | | <u>3978,82,30,000</u> |

Dated the 29th day of April, 1970.

(Sd.) B. N. ADARKAR,
Dy. Governor.
[No. F. 3(3)-BC/70.]

K. YESURATNAM, Under Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

In the Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 of the Reserve Bank of India, Issue Department for the week ended the 27th February 1970 published in the Gazette of India dated 21st March 1970 at part II, section 3(ii) on page 1418, the figure against the head "Notes held in the Banking Department" under the liabilities side of the account should read as "19,38.84,000" instead of "19,88,84,000".

नई दिल्ली, 1 मई, 1970

एस० ओ० 1707.—24 अप्रैल 1970 को रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के बैंकिंग विभाग के कार्यकलाप का विवरण

| देयताएं | रुपये | आस्तियां | रुपये |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| शुक्ता पूंजी | 5,00,00,000 | नोट | 12,75 63,000 |
| भारक्षित निधि | 150,00,00,000 | रुपये का सिक्का | 4,69,000 |
| | | छोटा सिक्का | 5,08,000 |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि | 155,00,00,000 | खरीदे और भुनाये गये बिल:— | |
| राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि | 35,00,00,000 | (क) देशी | .. |
| | | (ख) विदेशी | .. |
| राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि | 75,00,00,000 | (ग) सरकारी खजाना बिल | 60,67,65,000 |
| जमा राशियां :— | | विदेशों में रखे हुए बाकाया* | 138,83,55,000 |
| (क) सरकारी | | निवेश** | 132,81,58,000 |
| (i) केन्द्रीय सरकार | 166,10,76,000 | ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| (ii) राज्य सरकारें | 5,11,84,000 | (i) केन्द्रीय सरकार को | .. |
| | | (ii) राज्य सरकारों को@ | 182,74,38,000 |
| (ख) बैंक | | ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| (i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंक | 167,91,82,000 | (i) अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को† | 236,45,15,000 |
| (ii) अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | 7,07,99,000 | (ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को†† | 229,69,50,000 |
| | | (iii) दूसरों को | 2,61,32,000 |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|-----------------|
| (iii) गैर अनुसूचित राज्य सहकारी बैंक | 1,61,85,000 | राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से | |
| (iv) अन्य बैंक | 21,24,000 | ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश :— | |
| | | (क) ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| (व) अन्य | 163,10,30,000 | (i) राज्य सरकारों को | 33,86,02,000 |
| | | (ii) राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को | 15,15,29,000 |
| | | (iii) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों को | |
| देय बिल | 45,97,47,000 | (ख) केन्द्रीय भूमिबन्धक बैंकों के डिबेंचरों में निवेश | 9,49,70,000 |
| | | राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से ऋण और अग्रिम :— | |
| अन्य देयताएं | 136,55,70,000 | राज्य सहकारी बैंकों को ऋण और अग्रिम | 4,77,54,000 |
| | | राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से ऋण, अग्रिम और निवेश :— | |
| | | (क) विकास बैंक को ऋण और अग्रिम | 6,26,71,000 |
| | | (ख) विकास बैंक द्वारा जारी किये गये बांडों/डिबेंचरों में निवेश | |
| | | अन्य प्रास्तियां | 46,45,18,000 |
| रूपये 11,12,68,97,000 | | रूपये | 11,12,68,97,000 |

* नकदी, आवधिक जमा और अल्पकालीन प्रतिभूतियां शामिल हैं।

* * राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय औद्योगिक ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि में से किये गये निवेश शामिल नहीं हैं।

@ राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं, परन्तु राज्य सरकारों के अस्थायी ओवरड्राफ्ट शामिल हैं।

† रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम की धारा 17 (4) (ग) के अधीन अनुसूचित वाणिज्य बैंकों को मियादी बिलों पर अग्रिम दिये गये 63,51,85,000 रु० शामिल हैं।

†† राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (दीर्घकालीन क्रियाएं) निधि और राष्ट्रीय कृषि ऋण (स्थिरीकरण) निधि से प्रदत्त ऋण और अग्रिम शामिल नहीं हैं।

तारीख : 29 अप्रैल, 1970

रिजर्व बैंक ऑफ इंडिया अधिनियम, 1934 के अनुसरण में अप्रैल 1970 की 24 तारीख को समाप्त हुए सप्ताह के लिये लेखा
इशू विभाग

| देयताएं | रुपये | रुपये | आस्तियां | रुपये | रुपय |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| बैंकिंग विभाग में रखे हुए | | | सोने का सिक्का और बुलियन | | |
| नोट . | 12,75,63,000 | | (क) भारत में रखा हुआ | 182,53,11,000 | |
| संचलन में नोट | 39,66,06,67,000 | | (ख) भारत के बाहर रखा हुआ . . | .. | |
| जारी किए गए कुल नोट | | 39,78,82,30,000 | विदेशी प्रतिभूतियां | 361,42,00,000 | |
| | | | जोड़ . . . | | 543,95,11,000 |
| | | | रुपये का सिक्का | | 62,37,61,000 |
| | | | भारत सरकार की रुपया प्रतिभूतियां | | 33,72,49,58,000 |
| | | | देशी विनिमय बिल और दूसरे वाणिज्य पत्र . | | |
| कुल देयताएं . . . | | 39,78,82,30,000 | कुल आस्तियां . | | 39,78,82,30,000 |

तारीख 29 अप्रैल, 1970

(ह०) बी० एन० अडार्कर,
उप गवर्नर ।

[सं० एफ० 3(3)बी० सी०/70]

के० यसूरत्नम, अनुसचिव

CENTRAL BOARD OF DIRECT TAXES

INCOME-TAX

New Delhi, the 24th April 1970

S.O. 1708.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 126 of the Income-tax Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) and in modification of all the previous notifications in this behalf, the Central Board of Direct Taxes hereby directs that the jurisdiction over all class I officers belonging to the Indian Audit & Accounts Service and all other officers and staff serving in the various Audit and Accounts Offices in India separately, should vest in the Income-tax Officers as indicated in annexure 'A'. This notification shall take effect from 1-5-70.

ANNEXURE 'A'

1. All class I officers belonging to the Indian Audit and Accounts Service. Income-tax Officer, Additional Salary Circle, Delhi.

Employees (Gazetted other than those covered by item 1 of statement (A) and Non-Gazetted) serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department in the following offices as mentioned against each:

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 1. | A.G. Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Hyderabad. |
| 2. | Deputy Director of Audit and Accounts, Posts & Telegraphs, Hyderabad | |
| 2a. | Chief Auditor, South Central Railway, Secunderabad. | |
| 3.] | Deputy Accountant General (Projects), Nagarjuna Sagar Project, Vijayapuri (North). | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Vijayapuri (North) or nearest I.T.O. |
| 4. | Accountant General, Assam & Nagaland, Shillong. | |
| 5. | Deputy Accountant General, Assam & Nagaland, Agartala. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Agartala. |
| 6. | Accountant General, Bihar, Ranchi. | |
| 7. | Chief Auditor, Commercial Accounts, Eastern Region, Ranchi. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Ranchi. |
| 8. | Deputy Accountant General (Projects), Patna. | |
| 9. | Sr. Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services, Eastern Command, Patna. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Patna. |
| 10. | Accountant General, Central, Calcutta. | |
| 11. | Deputy Accountant General Commerce, Works & Misc., Calcutta. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Calcutta. |
| 12. | Accountant General, West Bengal, Calcutta. | |
| 13. | Director of Audit & Accounts, Post and Telegraphs, Calcutta. | |
| 14. | Chief Auditor, Eastern Railway, Calcutta | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Calcutta. |
| 15. | Chief Auditor, Railway Production Unit, Calcutta. | |
| 16. | Chief Auditor, South Eastern Railway, Calcutta. | |
| 17. | Senior Deputy Director of Audit (Defence Services) (Factories), Calcutta. | |
| 18. | Member, Audit Board and Ex-officio Director of Commercial Audit, Eastern Region, Calcutta. | |
| 19. | Chief Auditor, Commercial Accounts, Calcutta. | |
| 20. | Accountant General, Central Revenues, New Delhi. | |
| 21. | Accountant General, Commerce, Works & Misc., New Delhi. | |
| 22. | Director of Audit & Accounts, Posts & Telegraphs, Delhi | } Income-tax Officer, Salary Circle, New Delhi. |
| 23. | Accountant General, Posts and Telegraphs, New Delhi. | |

| | | |
|-------|---|---|
| 24. | Chief Auditor, Northern Railway, New Delhi. | Income-tax Officer, Salary Circle, New Delhi. |
| 25. | Director of Audit, Defence Services, New Delhi. | |
| 26. | Chief Auditor, Commercial Accounts, Northern Region, New Delhi. | |
| 26(a) | The Comptroller and Auditor General of India, New Delhi. | |
| 27. | Deputy Accountant General, Commerce, Works and Misc., Bombay. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Bombay. |
| 28. | Accountant General, Maharashtra, Bombay. | |
| 29. | Chief Auditor, Central Railway, Bombay | |
| 30. | Chief Auditor, Western Railway, Bombay | |
| 31. | Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services, Bombay. | |
| 32. | Member, Audit Board and Ex-officio Director of Commercial Audit, Southern & Western Region, Bombay. | |
| 33. | Chief Auditor, Commercial Accounts, Western Region, Bombay. | |
| 34. | Accountant General, Gujarat, Ahmedabad. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Ahmedabad. |
| 35. | Senior Deputy Accountant General, Rajkot | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Rajkot. |
| 36. | Accountant General, Haryana, Simla. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Simla. |
| 37. | Accountant General, Himachal Pradesh & Chandigarh, Simla. | |
| 38. | Accountant General, Punjab, Simla. | |
| 39. | Director of IAAS Staff College, Simla. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Chandigarh. |
| 40. | Deputy Accountant General (OAD & Works) Haryana, Chandigarh. | |
| 41. | Sr. Deputy Accountant General (Works & OAD), Punjab, Chandigarh. | |
| 42. | Accountant General, Jammu & Kashmir, Srinagar. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Srinagar. |
| 43. | Deputy Accountant General (Works), Jammu and Kashmir, Jammu. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Jammu. |
| 44. | Accountant General, Trivendrum. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Trivandrum. |
| 45. | Deputy Director of Audit & Accounts, Posts and Telegraphs, Trivandrum. | |
| 46. | Accountant General, Madhya Pradesh, Gwalior. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Gwalior |
| 47. | Deputy Accountant General (Works), Madhya Pradesh, Bhopal. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Bhopal. |
| 48. | Sr. Dy. Accountant General, Maharashtra, Nagpur. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Nagpur. |
| 49. | Director of Audit & Accounts, Posts and Telegraphs, Nagpur. | |
| 50. | Accountant General, Mysore, Bangalore. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Bangalore. |
| 51. | Chief Auditor, Commercial Accounts, Southern Region, Bangalore. | |
| 52. | Accountant General, Orissa, Bhubaneswar. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Bhubaneswar. |
| 53. | Deputy Accountant General (Project), Orissa, Jagdalpur. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Jagdalpur. |
| 54. | Deputy Accountant General, Orissa, Puri. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Puri. |
| 55. | Deputy Accountant General, (Projects), Punjab, Nangal. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Nangal. |
| 56. | Accountant General, Rajasthan, Jaipur. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Jaipur. |
| 57. | Deputy Director of Audit & Accounts, Posts and Telegraphs, Jaipur. | |

| | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 58. | Accountant General, Tamil Nadu, Madras. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Madras. |
| 59. | Director of Audit & Accounts, Posts and Telegraphs, Madras | |
| 60. | Deputy Chief Auditor, Integral Coach Factory, Perambur, Madras. | |
| 61. | Chief Auditor, Southern Railway, Madras. | |
| 62. | Accountant General, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Allahabad. |
| 63. | Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services (Pension), Allahabad. | |
| 64. | Deputy Director of Audit & Accounts, P. & T., Kapurthala. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Kapurthala. |
| 65. | Deputy Chief Auditor, Chittaranjan Locomotive Works, Chittaranjan. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Chittaranjan. |
| 66. | Deputy Chief Auditor, Diesel Locomotive Works, Varanasi. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Varanasi. |
| 67. | Chief Auditor, North East Frontier Railway, Pandu. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Pandu (Assam). |
| 68. | Chief Auditor, North Eastern Railway, Gorakhpur. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Gorakhpur. |
| 69. | Deputy Chief Auditor, Western Railway, Ajmer. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Ajmer. |
| 70. | Senior Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services, Poona. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Poona. |
| 71. | Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services (Central Command) Meerut. | } Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Meerut. |
| 72. | Senior Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services (Western Command), Meerut. | |
| 73. | Senior Deputy Director of Audit, Defence Services (Airforce), Dehradun. | Income-tax Officer, Salaries Circle, Dehradun. |

[No. 3 F. No. 55/165/68-IT(AI)]

L. N. GUPTA, Under Secy.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE, BANGALORE-1

CENTRAL EXCISE

Bangalore, the 27th February, 1970

S.O. 1709.—In exercise of the powers conferred on the Collector under Section 2(a) of the Produce Cess Act, 1966 (15 of 1966), read with Government of India, Notifications Nos. G.S.R. 884 and 885 dated 26-3-1969, I, M.C. DAS, Collector of Central Excise, Bangalore hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers specified in Column 2 of the table hereto annexed, to exercise within their respective jurisdictions, the powers of the "Collector" under the Sections of the Produce Cess Act enumerated in column (1) thereof, subject to the limitations specified in column (3) of the said Table.

TABLE

| Section of Produce Cess Act | Rank of officer | Limitations if any |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| (1) | (2) | (3) |
| 7 | Officer not below the rank of Inspector. | Full Powers |
| 8(i) | Officer not below the rank of (i) Superintendent of Central Excise-in-Charge of M.O.R. (ii) Inspector of Central Excise-in-Charge of Isolated Range. | Full Powers |

| (1) | (2) | (3) |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 9(1) | Officer not below the rank of Jurisdictional Superintendent. | Full powers. |
| 9(2) and proviso. | Officer not below the rank of Superintendent | Full powers. |
| 11(1)&(2) | Officer of Customs-in-Charge of Minor Ports under the control of Collector of Central Excise, Mysore. | Full powers. |
| 12(a), (b) and (c) | Officer not below the rank of Jurisdictional Superintendent. | Full powers. |
| 13 (1) & (2) | Officer not below the rank of Inspector. | Full powers. |
| 18. | Officer not below the rank of Assistant Collector of Central Excise. | Compounding of offence before institution of prosecution under Section 17. |

[No. 2]

M. C. DAS, Collector.

OFFICE OF THE COLLECTOR OF CENTRAL EXCISE, BOMBAY

CENTRAL EXCISE

PRODUCE CESS ACT

Bombay, the 16th March, 1970

S.O. 1710.—In pursuance of Section 2(a) of the Produce Cess Act, 1966, read with the Govt. of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture Community Development and Co-operation (Deptt. of Agriculture), New Delhi, notification No. GSR 884, dated the 26th March, 1969, I empower the Central Excise Officers specified in the following table, to exercise within their respective jurisdiction the powers of the Collector conferred by the provisions of the said sections which are enumerated in Column 2 of the table.

TABLE

| Rank of the Officer | Section |
|---|-------------|
| I | 2 |
| I. All Officers not below the rank of Supdts. of Cental Excise. | 8(i) |
| II. Do. | 9(i) & (2) |
| III. All Customs Officers incharge of Minor Ports situated within the jurisdiction of the Bombay Central Excise Collectorate. | 11 (i) |
| IV. All Asstt. Collectors of Central Excise. | 12 |
| V. All Officers not below the rank of Supdts. of Central Excise. | 13(1) & (2) |
| VI. All Asstt. Collectors of Central Excise. | 18 |

[No. PCA/Sec. 2(a) 1/70]

A. K. ROY, Collec.

**COLLECTORATE OF CUSTOMS & CENTRAL EXCISE,
(CENTRAL EXCISE WING), COCHIN-3**

CENTRAL EXCISES

Cochin, the 25th March 1970

S.O. 1711.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, I hereby empower all officers of an above the rank of "Assistant Collector of Central Excise", to exercise within their respective jurisdictions, the powers of "Collector" under Rule 173-M of the said Rules.

(Issued from File C. No. IV/16/88/70 CX. I)

[No. 3/70.]

M. C. DAS, Collector.

**CENTRAL EXCISE COLLECTORATE: M.P. & VIDARBHA
NAGPUR**

TRADE NOTICE

CENTRAL EXCISE

Nagpur the 26th April 1970

SUBJECT:—*Removal of non-excisable goods-Intermediate or residual products-Rules 50 of Central Excise Rules, 1944—Instructions Regarding*

S.O. 1712.—Attention is invited to the Collectorate Trade Notice No. 47/65-CE dated 22nd December, 1965 on the above subject.

2. It has been reported that there are certain units which manufacture Biscuits with the aid of power as well as with manual labour in the same premises without any segregation. Furthermore, the biscuits produced with the aid of power and with manual labour are also cleared for sale from the same premises. This may result in the mixing of stocks of excisable and non-excisable biscuits. In their own interest, therefore, the manufacturers concerned are advised to arrange for proper partition or segregation in manufactory to avoid inter-mixing of stocks and also to facilitate proper accounting of excisable biscuits.

3. In case, segregation of the two sections manufacturing excisable and non-excisable biscuits in the same factory is not practicable, it has been decided in exercise of the powers delegated to the undersigned under Rule 50 of the Central Excise Rules 1944 that in such factories the biscuits produced without the aid of power, shall not be allowed clearance without the permission of the proper Central Excise Officer. In other words, the biscuits in question shall be allowed clearance only after the countersignature of the Gate-pass by Central Excise Officer Incharge of the factory. The excisable biscuits are, however, allowed clearance on ARI and a proper gate pass.

4. The instructions contained in the collectorate Trade Notice No. 47/65-CE dated 22nd December, 1965 may please be treated as modified to the above extent, in respect of Biscuits falling under Central Excise Tariff item 1-C(1).

[No. 31/70.]

VIPIN MANEKLAL, Collector.

**MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND
COMPANY AFFAIRS**

(Department of Industrial Development)

Amendment

New Delhi, the 30th April 1970

S.O. 1713.—Reference Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) Notification No. EEI-19 (1)/69, dated 13th March, 1970 appointing a Committee to submit recommendations to Government on the report of the Sub-Committee of the Development Council for Heavy Electrical Industries regarding measures of substitution and

use of advanced technology for economising on foreign exchange required by electrical industries for imported raw materials and components.

2. In para 2 of the Notification under "The composition of the Committee will be as follows", the following amendment shall be made:

Under Serial No. 8.

For: Dr. Vakil Ahmed—Member.

Read: Dr. Vakil Ahmed—Member-Secretary.

[No. EEI-19(1)/69.]

MISS. M. SETH, Dy. Secy.

औद्योगिक विकास, आन्तरिक व्यापार तथा समन्वय-कार्य संत्रालय

(औद्योगिक विकास विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल 1970

एस० ओ० 1713.—प्रतिस्थापन के अभ्युपायों तथा आयतित कच्चे सामान और उपकरणों के लिये विद्युत उद्योगों द्वारा अपेक्षित विदेशी मुद्रा की बचत हेतु प्रोन्नत औद्योगिकी का प्रयोग करने के बारे में भारी विद्युत उद्योगों की विकास परिषद की उप-समिति के प्रतिवेदन पर सरकार को सिफारिशें प्रस्तुत करने के लिये एक समिति की नियुक्त संबंधी औद्योगिक विकास, आन्तरिक व्यापार तथा समन्वय-कार्य संत्रालय (औद्योगिक विकास विभाग) की अधिसूचना संख्या ई०ई० आई०-19 (1) 69 दिनांक 13 मार्च 1970 को देखें।

2. अधिसूचना के पैरा 2 में "समिति का गठन इस प्रकार होगा" के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित संशोधन किया जायेगा :

क्रम संख्या 8 के अन्तर्गत

डा० वकील अहमद—सदस्य के स्थान पर।

डा० वकील अहमद—सदस्य सचिव पदिये।

[सं० ई०ई० आई०-19 (1)/69]

कुमारी मीरा सेठ,

उप सचिव, भारत सरकार।

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 1st May 1970

S.O. 1714.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs A.V.M. Publicities, 38, Arcot Road, Madras-26 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st May, 1970.

[No. 8/45/70/PF-II(1).]

अम रोजगार और पुनर्वासमंत्रालय

(अम और रोजगार विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 1 मई 1970

का० आ० 1714.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स ए० बी० एम० पब्लिसिटीज, 38 आर्कोट रोड, मद्रास-26 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा 31 मई 1970 से लागू करती है।

[सं० 8/45/70-पी० एफ० 2(I)]

S.O. 1715.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st May, 1970 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs A.V.M. Publicities, 38, Arcot Road, Madras-26 be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/45/70/PF-II(II).]

का० आ० 1715.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 31 मई 1970 से मैसर्स ए० बी० एम० पब्लिसिटीज, 38 आर्कोट रोड, मद्रास-26 को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपांतरण के अन्वयधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किये जाएँ।

[सं० 8/45/70-पी० एफ० 2(II)]

S.O. 1716.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Kanwar Prithvi Raj Singh Bist and Company, P.O. Bist Estate, District Nainital (including branches at Haldwani, Tanakpur and Barielly) have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies, the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of November, 1967.

[No. 8/173/69-PF.II(1).]

का० आ० 1716.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स कुंवर पृथ्वीराज सिंह विष्ट एण्ड कम्पनी पी० आ० बिस्ट एस्टेट जिला नैनीताल (जिसमें हलद्वानी टनकपुर और बरेली पर इसकी शाखाएँ सम्मिलित हैं) नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1967 के नवम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/173/69— भविष्य निधि II(II) I]

S.O. 1717.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 1st November, 1967 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to M/s. Kanwar Prithvi Raj Singh Bist and Company, P.O. Bist Estate, District Nainital (including branches at Haldwani, Tanakpur and Barleily) be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/173/69-PF. II(ii).]

का० आ० 1717.—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 प्रथम नवम्बर 1967 से मैसर्स कुंवर पृथ्वी राज सिंह बिस्ट एण्ड कम्पनी प्रा० आ० बिस्ट एस्टेट जिला ननीताल (जिसमें हलद्वानी टनकपुर और बरेली और उसकी शाखाएं सम्मिलित हैं) को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपांतरण के अध्वधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किये जाय।

[सं० 8/173/69—पी० एक० II(II) I]

S.O. 1718.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Golukdihi Colliery Company Private Limited, 22, Burtolla Street, Calcutta-7 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of March, 1969.

[No. 8(170)/69-PF.II(1).]

का० आ० 1718.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स गोलुकदिह कोलरी कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 22 बड़तल्ला स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता-7, न.म.क. स्थापन से संबद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्य इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्यनिधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 के मार्च के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/170/69—भ० नि० II(I) I]

S.O. 1719.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st March 1969 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Golukdihi Colliery Company Private Limited, 22, Burtolla Street, Calcutta-7

be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8(170)/69-PF.II(ii).]

का० आ० 1719:—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्द्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6, 31 मार्च, 1969 से मैसर्स गोलुकदिह कीलगी कम्पनी प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 22, बड़त ला, स्ट्रीट, कलकत्ता को लागू होने के संबंध में इस उपकरण के अध्यधीन होंगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर 'आठ प्रतिशत' शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जाए।

[सं० 8/70/69-पी० एफ० II(II)]

S.O. 1720.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Stephen Court Limited, 23A, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of June, 1969.

[No. 8/171/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1720.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स स्टीफन कोर्ट लिमिटेड, 23ए नेताजी सुभाष रोड, कलकत्ता-1 नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जून के 30वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/171/69-भ० नि० II]

S.O. 1721.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Continental Rubber Works, 6/14, Kustia Road, Topsia, Calcutta-39 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st March, 1970.

[No. 8/172/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1721.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स कान्टिनेटल रबर वर्क्स, 6/15 कुरियां रोड, तांपसिया, कलकत्ता-39 नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन पर 31 मार्च, 1970 से एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

[सं० 8/172/69-भ० नि० II]

S.O. 1722.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs R. I. Works, National Insurance Building, Kingsway, Nagpur, Maharashtra have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of March, 1969.

[No. 8/87/69/PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 1722.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स आर० आई० वर्क्स, नेशनल इन्श्योरेंस, बिल्डिंग, किंग्सवे, नागपुर, महाराष्ट्र नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 के मार्च, के 31 वे दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/87/69/म० नि० II]

S.O. 1723.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs United Motor Service, G. B. Road, Palghat, Kerala State have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 30th April, 1970.

[No. 8(162)/68-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 1723.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स यूनाइटेड मोटर सर्विस, जी० बी० रोड, पालघाट, केरल राज्य नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किये जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन पर 30 अप्रैल, 1970 से एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

[सं० 8/157/69 म० नि० II]

S.O. 1724.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Standard Instruments Company, Shyam Engineering Compound, I. B. Patel Road, Goregaon (East), Bombay-62 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty first day of March, 1968.

[No. 8/162/68-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 1724.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स स्टैण्डर्ड इन्स्ट्रुमेंट्स कम्पनी, श्याम इंजीनियरिंग कम्पाण्ड आई० बी० पटेल रोड, गोरे गांव (पूर्व) मुम्बई-62, नामक स्थापन से संबद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के मार्च, के 31वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/162/68/भ० नि० II]

S.O. 1725.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and all the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sarad Syndicate, Mahalaxmi Chambers, 2nd Floor, 22, Bhulabhai Desai Road, Bombay-26 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of January, 1969.

[No. 8/59/70-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 1725.—यतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स शरद सिन्डीकेट महालक्ष्मी चैम्बर्स, दूसरी मंजिल, 22, भूला भाई देसाई रोड, मुम्बई 26 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और सभी कर्मचारी इस बात पर सहमत हो गए हैं कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जनवरी के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/59/70-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1726.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Serson Industries Private Limited, Pimpri P.F. P. O. Poona-18 (India) have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of November, 1969.

[No. 8/73/70-PF.II.]

का० प्रा० 1726.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स सेरसन इंडस्ट्रीज प्राइवेट लिमिटेड पिम्परी, पो० एफ० पी० ओ० पूना-18 (भारत) नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।
यह अधिसूचना 1969 के नवम्बर, के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/73/70-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1727.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs. Queens' Emporium (Printed Sarees Department), 89, Queen's Road, Bombay-20 (BR) have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirtieth day of June, 1968.

[No. 8/60/70-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1727.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स क्विन्स एम्पोरियम (छपी हुई साड़ी विभाग) 89, क्विन्स रोड मुंबई-20 (बी० आर०) नामक स्थापन से स बद्ध नियोजक और सभी कर्मचारी इस बात पर सहमत हो गये हैं कि कर्मचारी भविष्य अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 की जून के 30वें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/60/70-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1728.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sofremines (India) Limited, 30/B, Jawaharlal Nehru Road, Calcutta-16 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the thirtieth day of April, 1970.

[No. 8/74/70-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1728.—यतः, केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स सोफ्रेमिन्स (इन्डिया) लिमिटेड 30/बी जवाहर लाल नेहरू रोड कलकत्ता-16 नामक स्थापन से संबंध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के अप्रैल के तीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त होगी।

[सं० 8/74/70-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1729.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Expert Services Bureau Private Limited, Cecil Court Lansdowne Road, Apollo Bunder, Bombay-1 including its branch at Devnani Building, Plot No. 204 Pimpri P. O., Puna-18 have agreed that the provisions of the Employers' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of July, 1969.

[No. 8/57/70-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1729.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स एक्सपर्ट सर्विसेस ब्यूरो प्राईवेट लिमिटेड, सिलिल कोर्ट, लेन्सडाउन रोड, अपोलो बन्दर, मुम्बई-1, जिसमें उसकी वेवनानी बिल्डिंग, प्लॉट सं० 204, पिम्परी, डा० पूना-18, स्थित शाखा सम्मिलित है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं ;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम, की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जुलाई के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी ।

[सं० 8/57/70-पी० एफ० II.]

S.O. 1730.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Rultonpore Tea Company Limited, E-3, Gillander House, Calcutta-1 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the thirtieth day of April, 1970.

[No. 8/75/70-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1730.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स रूतानपुर टी कंपनी लिमिटेड, ई-3, गिल्लन्दर हाउस, कलकत्ता-1 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिएं ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है ।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के अप्रैल के तीसरे दिन को प्रवृत्त होगी ।

[सं० 8/75/70-पी० एफ० II.]

S.O. 1731.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs P. R. Traders, Mahalaxmi Chambers, 2nd Floor, 22, Bhulabhai Desai Road, Bombay-26 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of January, 1969.

[No. 8/58/70-PF.II.]

का० आ०. 1731—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स पी० आर० ट्रेडर्स, महालक्ष्मी चैम्बर्स, दूसरी मंजिल, 22, भूलाभाई देसाई रोड, मुम्बई-26 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जनवरी के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/58/70-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1732.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Shanker Theatres, Amravati, Maharashtra State have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of October, 1968.

[No. 8/68/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1732—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स शंकर थियेटर्स, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र राज्य नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के अक्टूबर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जायेगी।

[सं० 8/68/69-पी० एफ० II]

S.O. 1733.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Saroj Screens Private Limited, Saroj Talkies Building, Amravati, Maharashtra State have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of October, 1968.

[No. 8/68/69-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1733—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मॅसर्स सरोज स्क्रिन्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सरोज टाकीज बिल्डिंग, अमरावती, महाराष्ट्र राज्य नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थान को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के अक्तूबर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/65/69-पी० एफ० 2]

S.O. 1734.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as The Prabhat Talkies, 1526, C Ward, Laxmipuri, Kolhapur, Maharashtra State have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of May, 1969.

[No. 8/2/70-PF.II.]

का० आ० 1734—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि प्रभात टाकीज, 1526, सी वार्ड, लक्ष्मीपुरी, कोल्हापुर, महाराष्ट्र राज्य नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थान को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थान को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की मई के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/2/70-भ० नि०-II]

S.O. 1735.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs C. Z. Instruments India Private Limited, Kermani Building, 3rd floor, Sir Pheroz Mehta Road, Fort, Bombay have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of September, 1968.

[No. 8/3/70/PF-II.]

का० आ० 1735—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मॅसर्स सी० जेड् इन्स्ट्रुमेंट्स इण्डिया प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, केरमानी बिल्डिंग, तीसरी मंजिल, सर फिरोज मेहता रोड, फोर्ट, मुम्बई नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के सितम्बर के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/3/70/म० नि० II]

S.O. 1736.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Ram Labhaya Arora and Sons, 166, Netaji Subhas Chandra Bose Road, Tollygunge, Calcutta-40 including its City Office at 161/1, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Calcutta-7 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952) should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall come into force on the thirtieth day of April, 1970.

[No. 8/6/70/PF-II.]

का० आ० 1736.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैत्रर्स रामलभाया अरोड़ा एण्ड संस, 166 नेताजी सुभाष चन्द्र बोस रोड, कलकत्ता-40 जिसमें 161/1 महात्मा गांधी रोड कलकत्ता-7 पर स्थित इसका नगर कार्यालय सम्मिलित है नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1970 के प्रथम के तीसरे दिन को प्रवृत्त होगी।

[सं० 8/6/70-म० नि० II]

S.O. 1737.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Garment Enterprises Limited, Swan Mills Compound, Tokersey Jivraj Road, Sewri, Bombay-15 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of July, 1969.

[No. 8/10/70/PF-II.]

का० प्रा० 1737.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैत्रर्स गारमेंट एन्टरप्राइजेस लिमिटेड, स्वान मिल्स कंपाउण्ड, टोकरसे जीवराज रोड, मित्ररी, मुम्बई-15 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 की जुलाई के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/10/70/म० नि० II]

S.O. 1738.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Thakoor Electricals, Andheri-Kurla Road, Marol Naka, Bombay-59, AS have agreed that the provisions of the Employees Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the thirty-first day of October, 1967.

[No. 8/11/70/PF-II.]

क्र० प्र० 1738.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स ठाकुर इलेक्ट्रिकल्स, अंधेरी, कुरला रोड, मारोल नका, मुम्बई-59 ए० एस० नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद् द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1967 के अक्टूबर के इकतीसवें दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/11/70/भ० नि० II]

S.O. 1739.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sampatrai Subhas Kumar, Malgodown, College Square, Cuttack-3 have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the first day of April, 1969.

[No. 8/143/69/PF.II.]

क्र० प्र० 1739.—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स संपत राय सुभाष कुमार, माल गोदाम कालिज स्कवायर, कटक-3 नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद् द्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1969 के अप्रैल के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/143/69/भ० नि० II]

S.O. 1740.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of the employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Indian Hume Pipe Company Limited, Bainguinim, P.O. Old Goa, Goa have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment.

This notification shall be deemed to have come into force on the 1st day of April, 1968.

[No. 8/176/69-PF. II (i).]

का० आ० 1740—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स इण्डियन ह्यूम पाइप कम्पनी लिमिटेड बैंग्लुरुम, पो० ओ० ओल्ड गोवा, गोवा नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

यह अधिसूचना 1968 के अप्रैल के प्रथम दिन को प्रवृत्त हुई समझी जाएगी।

[सं० 8/176/69-भ० नि० 11 (i)]

S.O. 1741.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 8 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 1st April, 1968, section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Indian Hume Pipe Company Limited, Baingulnim, P.O. Old Goa, Goa be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/176/69-PF.II(ii).]

का० आ० 1741—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तक द्वारा शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर देने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 प्रथम अप्रैल, 1968 से मैसर्स इण्डियन ह्यूम पाइप कम्पनी लिमिटेड बैंग्लुरुम, पो० ओ० ओल्ड गोवा, गोवा को लागू होने के उपबन्ध में इस उपांतरण के अध्वधीन होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जायें।

[सं० 8/176/69-पी० एफ० 11 (ii)]

S.O. 1742.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that the employer and the majority of employees in relation to the establishment known as Messrs Sri Sreenivasa Oil Mills, Door No. 189, 111 Ward Yemmiganur, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh have agreed that the provisions of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), should be made applicable to the said establishment;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 1 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby applies the provisions of the said Act to the said establishment with effect from the 31st January, 1970.

[No. 8/158/69/PF.II(i).]

का० आ० 1742—यतः केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह प्रतीत होता है कि मैसर्स श्री श्रीनिवास आयल मिल्स, डोर नं० 189, 111 वार्ड येमिगानूर, कर्नूल जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश नामक स्थापन से सम्बद्ध नियोजक और कर्मचारियों की बहुसंख्या इस बात पर सहमत हो गई है कि कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को लागू किए जाने चाहिए।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 1 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्ध उक्त स्थापन को 31 जनवरी, 1970 से एतद्वारा लागू करती है।

[सं० 8/158/69-भ० नि० (ii) (i)]

S.O. 1743.—In exercise of the powers conferred by first proviso to section 6 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (19 of 1952), the Central Government, after making necessary enquiry into the matter, hereby specifies that, with effect from the 31st January, 1970 section 6 of the said Act shall in its application to Messrs Sri Sreenivasa Oil Mills, Door No. 189, IIIrd Ward, Yemmiganur, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh be subject to the modification that for the words "six and a quarter per cent", the words "eight per cent" were substituted.

[No. 8/158/69-PF.II(II).]

DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

का० प्रा० 1743—कर्मचारी भविष्य निधि अधिनियम, 1952 (1952 का 19) की धारा 6 के प्रथम परन्तुक द्वारा प्रवृत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार इस विषय में आवश्यक जांच कर लेने के पश्चात् एतद्वारा यह विनिर्दिष्ट करती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 इकतीस जनवरी, 1970 से नैसर्ग श्री श्रीनिवास आयल मिल्स, डोर नं० 189, III बार्ड येम्मिगनूर, जिला कारनूल, आंध्र प्रदेश को लागू होने के सम्बन्ध में इस उपांतरण के अन्वये होगी कि "सवा छह प्रतिशत" शब्दों के स्थान पर "आठ प्रतिशत" शब्द प्रतिस्थापित किए जायं।

[सं० 8/158/69-पी०एफ०॥ (II)]

दलजीत सिंह, अवर सचिव

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 2nd May 1970

S.O. 1744.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Nagpur, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the British India General Insurance Company Limited, Nagpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 23rd April, 1970.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT NAGPUR

REFERENCE (CGT) No. 12/69

PRESENT:

Shri G. V. Deo, B.A.L.L.B., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

The British India General Insurance Company Limited, Nagpur—Employer/
First Party.

Vs.

Their Workmen—Employee/Second Party.

APPEARANCES:

For Employer.—Shri Kher, First Party Divisional Manager.

For Workman.—Shri B. S. Variava in person Second Party.

STATE: Maharashtra

INDUSTRY: Insurance.

Nagpur, the 9th April 1970

AWARD

This is a reference under section 10(1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of a dispute between The British India General Insurance Company, Limited Nagpur and Their Workmen. The Central Government by an order dated 17th September, 1969 had initially constituted an Industrial Tribunal at Jabalpur to adjudicate the dispute. However, as a result of representations made by the parties the Central Government by an order dated 1st December, 1969 transferred the dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication with a direction to proceed with the proceedings from the stage at which they were transferred.

2. The dispute which has been referred to this Tribunal for adjudication is as follows.

"Whether the action of the management of the British India General Insurance Company Limited, Nagpur in terminating the services of Shri D. S. Variava with effect from the 1st February, 1969 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is Shri Variava entitled?"

3. It was not disputed that the British India General Insurance Company Limited, Nagpur (here-in-after referred to as the Company) had by its letter dated 6th April, 1965 appointed Shri D. S. Variava in charge of a servicing unit at Nagpur from 1st April, 1965. The appointment letter dated 6th April, 1965 lays down the terms and conditions of service of Shri Variava. Subsequently by an order dated 30th January, 1969 the Company informed Shri Variava that his services were no longer required from 1st February 1969.

4. The employee Shri Variava among other things contended that the order passed by the Company regarding the termination of his services was penal and such an order could not have been passed by the Company without holding a domestic enquiry. Hence according to him the order passed by the Company was illegal and was liable to be set aside. Shri Variava further contended that he was doing supervisory and technical work and was a 'workman' within the meaning of the Industrial Disputes Act, (here-in-after referred to as the Act). He was employed on the regular staff on a monthly salary and was incharge of a servicing unit. He was also paid bonus like any other employee and was treated as a workman by the company. He could, therefore take advantage of the provisions of the Act and claimed relief under it.

5. The main defence of the Company was that, Shri Variava was not a 'workman' within the meaning of the Act. His main duties were to study the business conditions prevailing in his territory and develop novel schemes for advancement of the Company's business, to recruit agents and to secure business through them for the company. The duties of Shri Variava were thus neither manual nor clerical nor technical and being mainly managerial he could not be said to be a 'workman' within the meaning of the Act. It was, therefore, contended that this Tribunal had no jurisdiction to entertain the reference.

6. As a result of the above pleadings the following preliminary issue was framed and finding recorded.

Preliminary Issue

Finding

- 1 (a) Is the employee not a workman within the meaning of Sec. 2(g) of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 ?
(b) Is this reference not tenable ?

Yes.
Yes.

Reasons for findings

7. The parties have led oral and documentary evidence in respect of their respective contentions. It is obvious that the question whether Shri Variava is a 'workman' or not depends upon the nature of the duties performed by him. As already stated earlier it was not disputed that Shri Variava was appointed in charge of a servicing unit as per appointment order dated 6th April, 1965 (Exh. E-2). This letter incorporates the various conditions on which Shri Variava was expected to work. Shri W. R. Kher, who is the Divisional Manager of the Company at Nagpur, has in his evidence stated that the document Exh. E-2. A which is also dated 6th April 1965 formed part of the appointment letter Ex. E-2. Shri Variava in his evidence stoutly denied this and contended that he had never received the document Ex. E-2. A and it never formed part of the agreement or the terms and conditions of his service, Shri Variava has signed the Appointment letter Ex. E-2 in token of having accepted the terms and conditions mentioned therein. The appointment letter purports to contain some enclosure because the word "Encs." has been typed at the bottom left corner of the letter. There is, however, no indication in the body of the letter as to what this enclosure was about. Taking advantage of this position Shri Variava has tried to show that there was no enclosure with the appointment letter Ex. E-2. The contention of Shri Variava cannot be accepted.

8. There is hardly any doubt that the duties which Shri Variava was expected to perform were more or less akin to that of a Field Officer of the Company. Evidence of Shri Rameshchandra Pande who was examined on behalf of the Company shows that he is styled as a Field Officer of the Company and is in-charge

of a servicing unit at Sagar. Ex. E-7 s. his appointment letter dated 30th June, 1965. This appointment letter was accompanied by an enclosure Ex. E-27-A which provides a procedure which is required to be followed by a servicing unit. The same is true about the appointment of Shri K. P. Nema who is incharge of a servicing unit at Seoni. In his case also the appointment letter Ex. E-28 was accompanied by an enclosure Ex. E-28.A laying down the procedure to be followed by a servicing unit. We have then a further example of the appointment of Shri P. D. Rajpal and in his case also the appointment letter Ex. E-29 was accompanied by an enclosure Ex. E-29.A laying down the procedure to be followed by him as a person in charge of a servicing unit. It may be noted that in all these appointments the person in-charge of a servicing unit had to guarantee a certain minimum of premium income. The appointment of Shri Variava could not have been on different lines. Ex. E-2.A mentions that it is a part of the appointment letter dated 6th April, 1965 Ex. E-2. As per this enclosure Shri Variava was required to give a premium income of Rs. 60,000 in the first year. The head office of the company is at Bombay and we have a letter dated 22nd March, 1965 Exh. E-25 from the Head Office of the company to the Divisional Manager, Shri Kher informing him about the terms and conditions on which Shri Variava should be appointed. In this letter the Head Office of the company has clearly mentioned that Shri Variava should be asked to give a minimum premium income of Rs. 60,000 in the first year so as to justify his appointment. Shri Kher, Divisional Manager of the Company in his evidence has stated that the aforesaid letter of his Head Office formed the basis of the appointment of Shri Variava. There is no reason to disbelieve him on this point because the Divisional Manager was bound to follow the directions given to him by the Head Office. In this background it is more than obvious that the appointment letter Ex. E-2 of Shri Variava must have contained the enclosure Ex. E-2.A which prescribes the procedure to be followed by the employee and also lays down the minimum premium income which he was liable to give. I, therefore, hold that Ex. E-2.A is an enclosure of Ex. E-2 and both the documents read together constitute the terms and conditions of the appointment of Shri Variava.

9. It has next to be seen if Shri Variava could be said to be a "workman" within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Act. The relevant part of the definition is as follows.

"2(s).—"workman" means any person (including an apprentice) employed in any industry to do any skilled or unskilled manual, supervisory, technical or clerical work for hire or reward * * * but does not include any such person—

- (i) * * *
- (ii) * * *
- (iii) who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity; or
- (iv) who, being employed in a supervisory capacity draws wages exceeding five hundred rupees per mensem or exercise, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature."

[sub-clauses (i) and (ii) not quoted as they are not relevant]

It will thus be noted that an employee who does supervisory, technical or clerical work is a workman as per section 2(s) mentioned above. In I.D.S.A. No. 335/63 decided by the District Industrial Court on 30th January, 1965 a similar point was involved. The dispute in that case was between the Life Insurance Corporation of India and Their Workmen and the point involved was if the employee was an 'employee' within the meaning of the C.P. and Berar Industrial Disputes Settlement Act, 1947. It was held that the duties performed by the employee were mainly of supervisory nature and hence he was not an 'employee' within the meaning of the said Act. This view was confirmed in appeal by the State Industrial Court. In my opinion the aforesaid decision is not relevant. It was a case under the C.P. and Berar Industrial Disputes Settlement Act, 1947 in which the definition of an 'employee' is different from the definition of a 'workman' as given in the Industrial Disputes Act 1947. In so far as the present case is concerned an employee doing supervisory work is classified as a workman. Hence even if Shri Variava is held to be doing supervisory work he would still be a 'workman' within the meaning of the Act unless his case is covered by the exceptions provided in sub-clauses (iii) and (iv) of Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

10. As per sub-clause (iii) of Section 2(s) of the Act a person who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity is not a 'workman'. The next exception mentioned in sub-clause (iv) is in two parts. The first part provides

that an employee who may be employed in a supervisory capacity will not be deemed to be a worker if he draws wages exceeding Rs. 500 per month. The second part more or less is similar to the exemption mentioned in sub-clause (iii) and lays down that an employee who exercises, either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature, is not a workman. Hence if the duties performed by Shri Variava are held to be either administrative or managerial in nature it follows that he cannot be said to be a 'workman' within the meaning of the Act.

11. The duties performed by Shri Variava can be ascertained from the oral as well as the documentary evidence. As per appointment letter dated 6th April, 1965 (Exh. E-2) his relevant duties as a person in charge of a servicing unit were as follows.

1. The servicing Unit, of which you will be incharge will have the territory of Nagpur District.
2. Your main duties, during the period of your service with the company will be to study the business conditions prevailing in your territory, of different trades, with a view to finding out the possibilities of the Company developing its activities with such groups.
3. You will recommend good and reliable Agents for the purpose of securing Fire, Marine and Miscellaneous insurance business for the Company, within the district allotted to you. The Company may, at its absolute discretion, if they think fit, after receipt of applications from such Agents, recommended by you, appoint them. The commission payable to such Agents shall be paid directly by Nagpur Branch.

Shri Variava in his evidence has further clarified the nature of his duties. According to him he was to introduce and develop new and novel scheme for advancement of the business of the company. He was to motivate new Agents and to activate the existing Agents. He was not only to guide them but to prepare them so as to constitute a dynamic force. He was also required to attend to some correspondence of the policy holder.

12. The contention of Shri Variava was that he was a technical man doing supervisory and clerical work. He was regularly required to attend office and hence was a 'workman' within the meaning of the Act. In support of his contention he relied on the decisions in *BENGAL UNITED TEA COMPANY LTD. Vs. RAM LABHAYA AND OTHERS* (1962 II L.L.J. 37) and *ALL INDIA RESERVE BANK EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATION AND ANOTHER Versus RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND ANOTHER* (1965—II L.J.J. 175). In my opinion none of these cases are to the point. In the first case the point involved was if an Asst. Medical Officer could be styled as a 'workman' and it was held that the work of a doctor being of a technical nature he was a workman within the meaning of the Act. In the next case mentioned above Their Lordships have held that the workman who was doing supervisory work and was drawing less than Rs. 500 per month was a workman within the meaning of the Act. In the instant case it is not disputed that Shri Variava may have been doing supervisory or technical work. What has to be seen is if he is covered by the exceptions mentioned in clauses (iii) and (iv) of Section 2(s) of the Act. In other words if the duties performed by Shri Variava are held to be managerial in nature then he would be covered by the aforesaid exceptions and he cannot be styled as a workman.

13. In the instant case Shri Variava was in independent charge of a servicing unit and was in control of the territory covered by the Nagpur District. He was required to study the business conditions prevailing in his territory with a view to finding out the possibilities of the company developing new business. He was to recommend the appointment of Agents. He was then to supervise the working of these Agents and to guide them in their work. It is therefore, evident that the duties performed by Shri Variava required initiative, tact, judgment, discretion, imagination, organising capacity and ability to implement and advice. His work therefore, was not merely supervisory and as a result of the powers vested in him, he was performing duties which were clearly of a managerial nature.

14. On behalf of the Company reliance was placed on a decision of the Madras High Court in *STANDARD VACUUM OIL COMPANY VRS. COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR AND ANOTHER* (1959 II LLJ page 771). In this case an Asstt. Engineer who was holding a gazetted appointment with the Government of India was dismissed from service. It was contended that the employee held a position of management and was for that reason excluded from the categories of persons

entitled' to the protection conferred by Madras Shops and Establishments Act, 1947. Section 4(1)(a) of that Act was as follows:

"Nothing contained in this Act shall apply to (a) persons employed in any establishment in a position of management."

The aforesaid provision is similar to the provisions contained in sub-clauses (iii) and (iv) of Section 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The principle laid down in the aforesaid case, therefore, is fully applicable to the instant case. Their Lordships held that it was not necessary that in order to be in a position of management the employee concerned should have absolute power in respect of any matter. It was also not necessary that before the employee can be said to be in a position of management he should have the power to appoint or to dismiss any employee. Their Lordships after considering in detail as to what constitutes a position of management held that if an individual has officers subordinate to him whose work he is required to supervise, if he is to take decisions and also the responsibility for ensuring that the matters entrusted to his charge are efficiently conducted and an ascertainable area or section of work is assigned to him, then one would ordinarily be justified in saying that he is in a position of management. In the instant case, the duties performed by Shri Variava were exactly of the aforesaid nature and consequently it must be said that his case is covered by the exceptions as mentioned in sub-clauses (iii) and (iv) of Section 2(s) of the Act and he is not an employee.

15. In view of what has been stated above I find that the employee Shri Variava is not a 'workman' within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the Act and this reference, therefore, is not tenable. Shri Variava is not entitled to any relief. Costs in this Tribunal shall be borne by the parties as incurred.

Nagpur,

The 9th April, 1970.

Sd/- G. V. Deo,

Presiding Officer,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Nagpur.

[No. F. 25/18/69-LRII(LRI)].

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 1st May 1970

S.O. 1745.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Ruby General Insurance Company Limited, Delhi and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And Whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demands of the workmen under Delhi Regional Office of Messrs Ruby General Insurance Company Limited and its sub-offices in respect of the following matters are justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?

1. Classification of employees;
2. Revision of scales of pay and fitment of employees in the revised scales;
3. Dearness Allowance.
4. Special Increments.
5. Special Allowances.
6. Other allowances.
7. Conversion of typists.

8. Half-day holidays and leave-different kinds of leave, quantum of leave and Leave Rules.
9. Free medical aid
10. Gratuity.
11. Retirement Age.
12. Provident Fund.
13. Promotion Policy.
14. Miscellaneous:—
 - (a) Grace time;
 - (b) Confirmation;
 - (c) Transfer;
 - (d) Loans for housing accommodation;
 - (e) Special Advance;
 - (f) Uniforms to Grade 'A' and 'B' employees;
 - (g) Amenities; and
 - (h) Existing Rights and Privileges."

[No. 40/16/70-LRI.]

New Delhi, the 4th May 1970

S.O. 1746.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Beas Dam Project Administration, Talwara and its workman Shri Som Parkash Junior Driller, T. No. 1504, Quarter No. 59, Block No. IV, Sansarpur Terrace;

And, whereas the said employers and workman have, under Sub-Section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the dispute to arbitration by arbitration agreement and have forwarded to the Central Government under Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the Said Act a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Sub-section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 27th April 1970.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947)

BETWEEN:

Name of parties.—M/s. Beas Dam Project Administration, Halwara and Shri Som Parkash ex. Jr. Driller T. No. 1504, Quarter No. 59, Block No. IV, Sansarpur Terrace.

Representing the employer.—Shri A. Roy Choudhury, Personnel Officer, Beas Dam Talwara.

Representing the employee.—Shri Som Parkash the workman concerned.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following industrial dispute to the arbitration of Shri Sham Lal, Deputy Labour Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

- (i) Specific matter in dispute.—Whether the dismissal of Shri Som Parkash ex-Jr. Driller is justified and in order? If not to what relief exact amount of compensation he is entitled?
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment/undertaking involved.—Beas Dam Project Administration, Talwara and Shri Som Parkash the workman.
- (iii) Name of the union, if any, representing the workman in question.—Nil.
- (iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.—14000 Approx.
- (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.—Only one.

We further agree.—The majority decisions of the arbitration shall be binding on us in case the arbitrators are equally divided in their opinion they shall appoint another person as umpire whose award shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator(s) shall make his award within a period of 6 months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period afore-mentioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of the parties.
Representing employer.

(Sd.) A. ROY CHOUDHURY,
Personal Officer.
26/12/69.

Representing the workman.
(Sd.) SOM PARKASH,

WITNESS:

1. Sd/- Illegible
26-12-69.
2. Sd/- Illegible
26-12-69.

[No. 4/48/70/LRIII].

S.O. 1747.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Beas Dam Project Administration, Talwara and its workman Shri Gurcharan Dass C/o Beas Employees Union, Talwara Township, District Hoshiarpur;

And, whereas the said employers and the workman have, under Sub-Section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the dispute to arbitration by arbitration agreement and have forwarded to the Central Government under Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 27th April, 1970.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947)

Names of Parties.—M/s. Beas Dam Project Administration, Talwara and Shri Gurcharan Dass workman.

Representing employers.—Shri A. Roy Chaudhury, Personnel Officer Beas Dam Project, Talwara.

Representing workman.—Shri Gurcharan Dass.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Sardar Tara Singh Labour-Cum-Conciliation Officer, II Circle, Amritsar.

- (i) Specific matters in dispute.—Whether the dismissal of Shri Gurcharan Dass is justified and in order? If not, to what relief/exact amount of compensation he is entitled?
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and the address of the establishment or undertaking involved.—M/s. Beas Dam Project Administration Talwara and Shri Gurcharan Dass workman concerned.
- (iii) Name of Union if any, representing the workman in question.—Nil.
- (iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.—14000 approximately.
- (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.—One only.

We further agree.—The majority decisions of the arbitration shall be binding on us in case the arbitrators are equally divided in their opinion they shall appoint another person as umpire whose award shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator(s) shall make his (their) award within a period of six months (here specify the period agreed upon by the parties) or within such fur-

ther time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period aforementioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of parties.

Employer Sd/- A. ROY CHOUDHURY,

Employee Sd/- GURCHARAN DASS,

WITNESS:

1. Sd/- B. R. MITAL, Xen. 26-12-69

2. Sd/- P. C. SHARMA, 26-12-69

General Secretary Beas Project Employee's Union, 1325-UT2, Talwara.

[No. 4/48/70/LRIII]

S.O. 1748.—Whereas an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to Messrs Beas Dam Project Administration, Talwara and its workman Shri Makhan Singh C/o Latest Printing Press, Connought Circus, Jullundur City;

And, whereas the said employers and workman have, under Sub-Section (1) of Section 10A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), agreed to refer the dispute to arbitration by arbitration agreement and have forwarded to the Central Government under Sub-Section (3) of Section 10 A of the said Act a copy of the said arbitration agreement;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of Sub-Section (3) of Section 10A of the said Act, the Central Government hereby publishes the said arbitration agreement which was received by it on the 27th April, 1970.

AGREEMENT

(Under Section 10-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947).

NAMES OF PARTIES:

M/s. Beas Dam Project, Administration, Talwara and Shri Makhan Singh, workman.

Representing the employer.—Shri A. Roy Choudhury, Personnel Officer Beas Dam Project, Talwara.

Representing the employees.—Shri Makhan Singh, C/o Latest Printing Press, Connaught Circus, Jullundur City.

It is hereby agreed between the parties to refer the following Industrial Dispute to the arbitration of Shri Sham Lal Deputy Labour Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh.

- (i) Specific matters in dispute.—Whether the dismissal of Shri Makhan Singh, is justified and in order? If not to what relief/exact amount of compensation he is entitled?
- (ii) Details of the parties to the dispute including the name and address of the establishment or undertaking involved.—Beas Dam Project Administration Talwara and Sh. Makhan Singh.
- (iii) Name of the union, if any, representing the workman in question.—Nil.
- (iv) Total number of workmen employed in the undertaking affected.—14000 approximately.
- (v) Estimated number of workmen affected or likely to be affected by the dispute.—One only.

We further agree that—The majority decisions of the arbitrator shall be binding on us in case the arbitrators are equally divided in their opinion they shall appoint another person as umpire whose award shall be binding on us.

The arbitrator(s) shall make his award within a period of six months or within such further time as is extended by mutual agreement between us in writing. In case the award is not made within the period afore-mentioned, the reference to arbitration shall stand automatically cancelled and we shall be free to negotiate for fresh arbitration.

Signature of parties.

1. Representing employer.
Sd./- A. ROY CHOUDHURY.
26/12/69.

Personnel Officer.
Representing the workmen.
Sd./- MAKHAN SINGH.
20/12/69.

Witness:

1. Sd./- Illegible.
26/12/69.
2. Sd./- Illegible.
26/12/69.

[No. 4/48/70/LRIII.]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN. Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 5th May 1970

S.O. 1749.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Rajasthan, Jaipur in the industrial dispute between Shri Ramjidas Ramrichpal, quarry owner, Modak, Ramganjmandi (Kota) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 27th April, 1970.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR

PRESENT

Shri Gopal Narain Sharma

JUDGE

CASE NO. CIT-9 OF 1968

REF.:—Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment Order No. 36/7/68-LRI dated 19th September 1968.

In the Matter of an Industrial Dispute.

BETWEEN

The Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganj Mandi.

AND

M0s. Ramjidas Ramrichpal, Quarry Owner, Modak, Ramganj Mandi, Kota.

Date of Award:

7th March, 1970.

AWARD

The Central Government by its order dated 19th September 1968 referred the following dispute between the employers in relation to Shri Ramjidas Ramrichpal Quarry Owner, Modak, Ramganjmandi and their workmen to this Tribunal for adjudication:—

“Whether the action of Shri Ramjidas Ramrichpal Quarry Owner, Modak Railway Station, Ramganjmandi in terminating the services of his employee, Shri Sultan Hassan with effect from the 24th August, 1967 was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?

During the pendency of proceedings both the parties referred the dispute to the arbitration of a Board of Arbitrators. The Rashtriya Mazdoor Sangh, Ramganjmandi has now filed an application stating that the Board of Arbitrators has already given

its award and prays for passing an award in terms of the Arbitrators' Award. The other party has no objection to it.

Hence an award is passed in terms of the arbitrators' award enclosed herewith. It shall form a part of this award. It may be submitted to the Government for publication.

GOPAL NARAIN SHARMA,
Judge,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,
Rajasthan, Jaipur.

आरबीट्रेशन—अवार्ड

राष्ट्रीय मजदूर संघ, रामगंज मंडी—प्रार्थी

विरुद्ध

श्री रामजी दास राम रीछपाल, क्वारी ओनर, मोडक स्टेशन—प्रतिपक्षी

(केस नं० आई० टी०-९/६८)

भारत सरकार द्वारा अपनी विज्ञप्ति दिनांक 19-9-68 द्वारा निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद, औद्योगिक प्रायधिकरण, राजस्थान, जयपुर को निर्णयण रेंफर किया है।

"Whether the action of Shri Ramjidas Ramrichpal Quarry Owner, Modak Railway Station, Ramganjmandi in terminating the services of his employee, Shri Sultan Hassan with effect from the 24th August, 1967, was justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

औद्योगिक प्रायधिकरण के समक्ष केस की कार्यवाही हुई दोनों पक्षों ने अपने अपने सबूत पेश किये और केस में बहस सूनी गई, किन्तु बहस के समय दोनों पक्षों ने यह तय किया कि केस को पंच फैसला द्वारा निर्णित कराया जावे और हमें उपरोक्त विवाद को निपटाने हेतु पंच नियुक्ति किया गया।

हमने दिनांक 9-2-70 के सूचना पत्र द्वारा दोनों पक्षों को कार्यवाही की जाने की सूचना दी। सूचना के अनुसार दोनों पक्षकार दिनांक 16-2-70 को उपस्थित हुए उन्होंने न्यायाधिकरण के समक्ष पेश की गई शहादत के अतिरिक्त अन्य कोई भी शहादत पेश करने से इंकार कर दिया और रेकार्ड के आधार पर ही फैसला करने की इच्छा जाहिर की।

हमने पक्षकारी की सूनी।

श्री सुल्तानहसन व यूनियन का कथन है कि वह दिनांक 6-10-60 से स्टोन क्वारीज पर प्रतिपक्षी के यहां कामगार था उसे दिनांक 24-8-67 को बिना किसी कारण व नोटिस के नोकरी से निकाला गया है उस समय उसे रु० 400/- प्रति माह वेतन मिलता था।

श्री रामजीदास का कथन है कि श्री सुल्तानहसन रु० 217/- मासिक पर मैनेजर की हैसियत से दिनांक 1-11-66 से नोकरी पर थे चूंकि श्री सुल्तानहसन ने अपने मैनेजर के पद का दुर्पयोग किया था इस लिए उसे एक माह का नोटिस देकर मैनेजर के पद से हटा दिया गया।

हमारे समक्ष सर्व प्रथम प्रश्न यह है कि क्या सुल्तानहसन मैनेजर था।

हमने Ex. M-1 to Ex. M-18 या Ex. M-20 to Ex. M-30, Ex. M-32 को देखा उनमें श्री सुल्तानहसन को मैनेजर कहा गया है उसके हस्ताक्षर हैं, माईन्स विभाग में भी उसे मैनेजर घोषित किया हुआ था किन्तु Designation स्वयं में पर्याप्त शहादत नहीं होती। केवल मात्र इसी आधार पर मैनेजर नहीं माना जा सकता जो शहादत पेश की गई है उसके आधार पर श्री सुल्तानहसन

को मैनेजर नहीं माना जा सकता। मैनेजर से उस व्यक्तिका अभिप्राय होता है जो व्यक्ति अपने संस्थान में कामगारों को नौकरी पर रख सके, उसके विरुद्ध दुराचरण की कार्यवाही कर सके तथा उसके कारण उन्हें उचित दण्ड दे सके, उन्हें नौकरी से मुक्त कर सके तथा जो व्यवस्था की दृष्टि से संस्थान का समस्त कारोबार देखता हो, जो संस्थान की व्यवस्था को कन्ट्रोल करता हो प्रसूत केस में इस विषय पर कोई शहादत नहीं है शहादत के आधार पर श्री सुल्तानहसन सुपरवाईजर कहा जा सकता है।

श्री रामजी दास के बयान से यह साबित है कि श्री सुल्तानहसन दिनांक 1-11-66 से रु० 217/- मासिक पर नौकर रखा गया था Ex.—M. 32 से यह प्रभावित कि उसे रु० 217/- मासिक वेतन मिलता था इसके विपरीत श्री सुल्तानहसन की शहादत मानने योग्य नहीं।

पक्षकारों की शहादत के आधार पर हमारी निम्नलिखित फाईंडिंग है।

(1) श्री सुल्तानहसन मैनेजर नहीं थे अपितु सुपरवाईजर थे और इसलिए कामगार की पारिभाषा में आते हैं।

(2) श्री सुल्तान दिनांक 1-11-66 से रु० 217/- मासिक पर नौकर हुए थे Ex.W. 1 व Ex.W. 2 से यह साबित है कि श्री सुल्तानहसन को श्री रामजीदास ने नौकरी से इसलिए हटाया था कि उसका काम संतोषप्रद नहीं था यह तथ्य भी स्वीकार किया गया है कि नौकरी हटाने के पूर्व अतः तीन आदि के कारणों के बावत श्री सुल्तानहसन को कोई चार्जशीट नहीं दी गई थी न कोई जांच कार्यवाही हुई न सुनवाई का कोई मौका ही दिया गया इसलिए हम यह मानते हैं कि श्री सुल्तानहसन को नौकरी से निकालने की कार्यवाही कानूनानुसार ठीक नहीं थी उसे गलत रूप से नौकरी से निकाला गया है।

अब मूल प्रश्न हमारे समक्ष यह आता है कि श्री सुल्तानहसन किस राहत के अधिकारी हैं सामान्य रूप से यदि कामगार को नौकरी से *illegally* हटाया जाता है तो वह नौकरी पर पुरे वेतन के साथ पुनः स्थापित किये जाने का अधिकारी होता है किन्तु केस की परिस्थितियों में हम यह सामान्य सिद्धान्त लागू करना न्यायसंगत नहीं मानते।

यह सही है कि श्री सुल्तानहसन को बिना सुने नौकरी से निकाला गया है, किन्तु *Victimization* का तथा दुर्भावना का कोई आरोप साबित नहीं है श्री रामजीदास ने यह मानकर कि श्री सुल्तानहसन मैनेजर थे उन्हें *Bona fide* रूप से नौकरी से अलग किया है उसके मैनेजर सामने का *Bona fide* आधार है यह सही है कि कानून के सिद्धान्तों पर श्री सुल्तानहसन को मैनेजर नहीं माना गया है किन्तु दुर्भावना आरोपित नहीं की जा सकती।

श्री सुल्तानहसन जिस पद पर जो कार्य कर रहे थे वह मैनेजर का कार्य न होते हुए भी उत्तरदायित्व का पद था और अब भी रामजीदास व श्री सुल्तानहसन में एक दूसरे के प्रति विश्वास नहीं रहा और अविश्वास व असन्तोष की भावना जा चुकी है तो उसे पुनः उसके पद पर पुनः स्थापित किया जाना न्यायसंगत नहीं है, ऐसी स्थिति में हम श्री सुल्तानहसन को 6 माह का वेतन हर्ज के रूप में दिलाया जाना उचित मानते हैं।

अतएव हम यह अर्वाह देते हैं कि श्री सुल्तानहसन के अनुचित रूप से नौकरी पर से हटाये जाने के कारण श्री रामजीदास श्री सुल्तानहसन को 6 माह का वेतन 217/- रु० महीने के हिसाब से 1302/- + 217/- एक माह का नोटिस पीरियड की रकम योग रु० 1519/- रु० श्री सुल्तानहसन को रु० 450/- श्री रामजीदास को देने है यानी सही रकम का पेमेन्ट श्री रामजीदास श्री सुल्तानहसन

को . . . रु० 1069 व 31 रु० दो माह का प्राविजेंट फंड जुमले रु० 1100/- इस भ्रवाई की तारीख से एक माह की अवधि में भ्रवा करेंगे अन्य मुकदमें का खर्चा जो हम रु० 400/- मुख्यांकित करते हैं जो श्री रामजीदास यूनियन को उस रकम के साथ भ्रवा करेंगे ।

भाज दिनांक 19-2-70 को प्रस्तुत भ्रवाई श्री सुल्तानहसन व श्री राजजीवास की उपस्थिति में सुनाया गया ।

| | | |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| पक्षी | प्रतिपक्षी | भरबीट्टेटर |
| (सुल्तानहसन) | (रामजीदास रामरीछपाल) | 1-(के०एच०पारीख) |
| | क्वारी ओनर माइक स्टेशन | मेनेजिंग-डाइरेक्टर, |
| | | एसोसियेटेड स्टोन इन्डस्ट्रीज |
| | | (कोटा) लि० रसिगंज मण्डी |

2- यूनियन की ओर से
(राम गोपाल गुप्ता) कोषाध्यक्ष,
राष्ट्रीय मजदूर संघ, रामगंज मण्डी ।

2- (कान्ती वाई० एम० पटेल)
रामगंज मंडी
3- (स्वाधीन कुमार शर्मा) प्रेसीडेंट
राष्ट्रीय मजदूर संघ, रामगंज मण्डी
4- (भवानीसिंह पटेल) मंत्री ,
राष्ट्रीय मजदूर संघ , रामगंज मंडी

[No. 36(7)/88-LR-IV.]

P. C. MISRA, Under Secy.